

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/885,027	06/21/2001	Mitsutoshi Miyasaka	036654.04 4889			
25944	7590 08/20/2002					
OLIFF & BI P.O. BOX 199	ERRIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER				
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			JACKSON JR, JEROME		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2815			
		DATE MAILED: 08/20/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
	Action Summary	09/885			1700
Offic		Exami		MIYASAKA, MITSUTOSHI	
			Jackson Jr.	Art Unit	
The MAIL	LING DATE of this communication	ation appears on	th cover sheet with the c	2815 orrespondence add	iress
A SHORTENED THE MAILING D - Extensions of time in after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within - Any reply received by earned patent term a Status 1) Responsi 2a) This actio 3) Since this closed in Disposition of Claim 4) Claim(s) 1 4a) Of the a	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, may be available under the provisions of als from the mailing date of this communication are specified above, the maximum statuth in the set or extended period for reply will by the Office later than three months after djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). We to communication(s) filled an is FINAL. Sapplication is in condition for accordance with the practice ans and 2 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/are value.	R REPLY IS SET ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and li, by statute, cause the ar the mailing date of this if on This action or allowance exceed under Ex parte application.	event, however, may a reply be time tatutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a pplication to become ABANDONEC communication, even if timely filed, is non-final. ept for formal matters, proquayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	sylled swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this corp. (35 U.S.C. § 133). may reduce any	nmunication.
	is/are allowed.	williarawn from c	onsideration.		
	and 2 is/are rejected.				
<u>—</u>	is/are objected to.				
,	are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.		
9) The specific	ation is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
	(s) filed on <u>21 June 2001</u> is/		d or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Applicant m	nay not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a)	
11)∐ The propose	d drawing correction filed on	n is: a)∏ a	pproved b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.	
If approved,	, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this O	ffice action.	•	
	declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledg	ment is made of a claim for	foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□	Some * c) ☐ None of:			(.,	
1.☐ Certifi	ied copies of the priority doc	uments have bee	n received.		
	ed copies of the priority doc			No 09/023 605	
3.☐ Copie	s of the certified copies of th	e priority docume	ents have been received	in this National Sta	200
* See the attact	ned detailed Office action for	r a list of the certi	Rule 17.2(a)). fied copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgm	ent is made of a claim for do	omestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication)
a) 📙 The tran	slation of the foreign langua ent is made of a claim for do	ge provisional an	plication has been receive	rod	,
Attachment(s)				•	
Information Disclosure	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 e Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) No(s) <u>2</u> .	4) Interview Summary (P' 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	TO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-15	(2)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Of	fice Acti n Summar	 у	Part of Par	ner No. 5

Application/Control Number: 09/885,027

Art Unit: 2815

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no clear antecedent basis in the specification for claiming three layers of silicon oxide as an underlevel protection structure. Applicant should point out where the support is. As far as can be determined there is only one layer of silicon oxide.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 there is no antecedent basis for "the implantation dose" and the intended structure is vague and indefinite. Note also that an implantation dose is usually expressed in atoms/cm2. Also in claim 1, there should punctuation in line 3.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/885,027

Art Unit: 2815

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Morosawa '306.

Morosawa applies as in the parent application. Morosawa shows a thin film transistor with a dual layer (2,3) underlayer protection film. As stated previously, "about" is broad and the claimed thickness is ordinary in the art. Claim 1 is thus rejected. Claim 2 is rejected because the limitation of three silicon oxide films without the inclusion of any distinguishing features of the three layers does not structurally distinguish over Morosawa. For example, the silicon oxide layer of Morosawa comprises multiple stacked atomic layers of silicon oxide. Clearly, applicant's bare limitation of three layers of silicon oxide do not structurally distinguish over Morosawa.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yamazaki '582.

Yamazaki teaches a thin film transistor with underlayer protection films. Claims 1 and 2 are broad and do not structurally distinguish over '582. As above, recitations of

Application/Control Number: 09/885,027

Art Unit: 2815

"about" and "three silicon oxide layers" do not structurally distinguish over '582 where the device is of similar thickness and comprises multiple atomic layers of silicon oxide.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 2 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,335,542. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are drawn to an underlevel protection layer comprising multiple layers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 703 308 4937. The examiner can normally be reached on t-th 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lee can be reached on 703 308 4915. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7722 for regular communications and 703 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

jj August 14, 2002

> JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER